

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER
GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN
PART ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL (DKT. 2326)

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed a Motion for Reconsideration of Order
2 Granting in Part and Denying in Part Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (Dkt. 2326)
3 (“Motion for Reconsideration”).

4 Having considered the Motion for Reconsideration, and good cause to seal having been
5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Motion for Reconsideration and **ORDERS** sealed the
6 portions of the documents listed below:

- 7 1. Sealing portions of Plaintiff’s Responses and Objections to Uber’s Fifth Set of
8 Interrogatories (Nos. 18-24) (previously filed as Exhibit 1 at Dkt. 1214-6/1294-4) marked
9 in red boxes on page 12, lines 20-24 of the sealed version filed as Exhibit A to the Motion
10 for Reconsideration (in addition to passages previously granted sealing); and
- 11 2. Sealing portions of the July 30, 2017 email from Andrea P. Roberts to counsel for
12 Defendants (previously filed as Exhibit 6 at Dkt. 1214-16/1294-14) marked in red boxes
13 on pages 20-21 and 24-28 of the sealed version filed as Exhibit B to the Motion for
14 Reconsideration.

15
16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17
18 Dated: December 14, 2017

19 
20 HON. JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28